

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1, 6 and 13 remain in this application. In Claim 1, 6 and 13 the limitations “and said camera shutter module can be disassembled from said main circuit module” and “and said liquid crystal display module can be disassembled from said main circuit module” are added. This addition according to FIG.3, FIG4, FIG.5 and their description in specification and page 13 line1-5 show the main circuit module, the camera shutter module and the liquid crystal display module are connected with each other ,and can be disassembled from each other.

Applicants respectfully requests reconsideration in light of the following remarks.

### **CLAIM REJECTIONS- 35 U.S.C. SECTION 103(a)**

Claims 1, 3-4, 6, 8-9, 11,13,15-16 and 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as being unpatentable over Hus et al. U.S. Publication 2004/0218051 when take in combination with Inagaki U.S. patent 6,825,950.

Examiner is of the opinion that the Hsu et al. is the primary reference and taken in combination with the Inaaki reference, the kweon Patent 6,667,771, the Hus Patent 6,798,173 and the Yamamura et al. Patent 5,664,244 teaching a system for a capsule from digital camera which is as same as match the present invention.

According to forgoing amended claims, FIG.3, FIG4, FIG.5 and their description in specification and page 13, lines 1-5, we know that the capsule from digital camera of the present invention comprises a main circuit module, a camera shutter module and a liquid crystal display module. The camera shutter modules and the liquid crystal display module are connected with the main circuit module, and can

be disassembled from the main circuit module. Therefore, if any module was broken down , people could only change the broken module in stead of all module.

Although the Hus et al.U.S. Publication 2004/0218051 discloses that the circuit module (main circuit module) and the photo capture module (camera shutter module) are made at the same substrate. Therefore, the photo capture module can not be disassembled from the main circuit module. When the photo capture module is broken down, all you can do is changing the photo capture module and the circuit module together. So the digital camera of the Hus reference and the digital camera of the present invention is much different, even the Hus reference combines with other references. Therefore, this rejection has been traversed.


The other claims are the dependent claims of the independent claim 1, 6 and 13. According to foregoing statement, the rejection of the independent claim 1, 6 and 13 has been traversed. Therefore, he rejection of the other dependent claims has also been traversed.

**Conclusion**

In the light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully submits that all pending Claims 1, 6 and 13 as currently amended and the dependent claims of Claims 1, 6 and 13 are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration is respectfully requested.

**This Amendment was prepared by Applicant, and is being submitted without substantive change by the undersigned Attorney.**

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "David I. Klein". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name.

David I. Klein

Registration No. 33,253

Dated: *27 June 2005*

Rosenberg, Klein & Lee  
Suite 101  
3458 Ellicott Center Drive  
Ellicott City, MD 21043  
(410)465-6678